



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,775	01/06/2000	Christopher N. Elsbree	ICO-004 (4594/11)	2147

21323 7590 07/24/2003

TESTA, HURWITZ & THIBEAULT, LLP  
HIGH STREET TOWER  
125 HIGH STREET  
BOSTON, MA 02110

EXAMINER
----------

JOSEPH, THOMAS J

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2174 14  
DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/478,775	ELSBREE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Thomas J Joseph	2174	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Thomas J Joseph, Patent Examiner. (3) Mr. Edward Gordon, Attorney for Applicant.  
(2) Mr. Robert Tosti, Attorney for Applicant. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 July 2003.

Type: a)  Telephonic b)  Video Conference  
c)  Personal [copy given to: 1)  applicant 2)  applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e)  No.  
If Yes, brief description: .

**Claim(s) discussed: 1,2 and 8.**

Identification of prior art discussed: *Daswani and Hetherington*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g)  was not reached. h)  N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Potential differences between the art of record and the claim language were discussed. The Applicant's representatives and Examiner also clarified the use of the word, "simulating" in claim 2. Furthermore, potential amendments to the independent claims leading toward making progress with the prosecution of the application were discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

**Examiner Note:** You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature if required